KENTUCKY HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL RULES

I. Rules of the Competition

A.	Administration				
	Rule 1.1	Rules	. 5		
	Rule 1.2	Code of Conduct	. 5		
	Rule 1.3	Emergencies			
	Rule 1.4	Student Timekeepers	. 5		
B.	The Problen	n			
	Rule 2.1	The Problem	. 6		
	Rule 2.2	Witnesses Bound by Statements	. 6		
	Rule 2.3	Unfair Extrapolation	. 6		
	Rule 2.4	Gender of Witnesses	. 7		
	Rule 2.5	Voir Dire	. 7		
C.	Teams				
	Rule 3.1	Team Eligibility	. 7		
		Tournament Format and Pairings			
	Rule 3.2	Team Composition	. 8		
	Rule 3.3	Team Presentation	. 8		
	Rule 3.4	Team Duties	. 8		
	Rule 3.5	Team Roster Forms	. 9		
D.	The Trial				
	Rule 4.1	Courtroom Setting	. 9		
	Rule 4.2	Stipulations	. 9		
	Rule 4.3	Reading Into the Record Not Permitted	. 10		
	Rule 4.4	Swearing of Witnesses	. 10		
	Rule 4.5	Trial Sequence and Time Limits	. 10		
	Rule 4.6	Timekeeping	. 10		
	Rule 4.7	Time Extensions and Scoring	. 11		
	Rule 4.8	Motions Prohibited	. 11		
	Rule 4.9	Sequestration			
	Rule 4.10	Bench Conferences	. 11		
	Rule 4.11	Supplemental Material; Costumes	. 12		
	Rule 4.12	Trial Communication	. 12		
	Rule 4.13	Viewing a Trial	. 12		
	Rule 4.14	Videotaping/Photography	. 12		
	Rule 4.15	Jury Trial			
	Rule 4.16	Standing During Trial	. 13		
	Rule 4.17	Objections During Opening Statement/Closing Argument			

		Rule 4.18	Objections	13
		Rule 4.19	Reserved	13
		Rule 4.20	Procedure for Introduction of Exhibits	14
		Rule 4.21	Use of Notes	14
		Rule 4.22	Redirect/Recross	14
		Rule 4.23	Scope of Closing Arguments	14
		Rule 4.24	The Critique (Optional for Teams)	
		Rule 4.25	Offers of Proof	15
	E.	Judging and	d Team Advancement	
		Rule 5.1	Finality of Decisions	15
		Rule 5.2	Composition of Judging Panels	15
		Rule 5.3	Scoresheets/Ballots	15
		Rule 5.4	Completion of Scoresheets	16
		Rule 5.5	Team Advancement	16
		Rule 5.6	Power Matching/Seeding	16
		Rule 5.7	Selection of Sides for Semifinal Round (if necessary)	
			and Championship Round	17
		Rule 5.8	Odd Number of Teams Participating in Tournament	18
		Rule 5.9	Awards	18
	F.	Dispute Res	olution	
		Rule 6.1	Objecting to a Rules Violation	18
		Rule 6.2	Reserved	19
		Rule 6.3	Effect of Violation on Score	19
		Rule 6.4	Reserved	19
II.	Federal	Rules of Evi	dence (Mock Trial Version)	
	A.	Article I. G	Seneral Provisions	
		Rule 101	Scope	20
		Rule 102	Purpose and Construction	
	В.	Article II.	Iudicial Notice (Not applicable)	
	C.	Article III.	Presumptions in Civil Actions and Proceedings	
		Rule 302	Applicability of State Law in Civil Actions and	
		14410 302	Proceedings	20
	D.	Article IV.	Relevancy and its Limits	
		Rule 401	Definition of "Relevant Evidence"	21
		Rule 402	Relevant Evidence Generally Admissible:	
			Irrelevant Evidence Inadmissible	21

	Rule 403	Exclusion of Relevant Evidence on Grounds of	2.1
	D 1 404	Prejudice, Confusion, or Waste of Time	
	Rule 404	Character Evidence Not Admissible to Prove Conduct	
	Rule 405	Methods of Proving Character	
	Rule 406	Habit; Routine Practice	
	Rule 407	Subsequent Remedial Measures	
	Rule 408	Compromise and Offers to Compromise	
	Rule 409	Payment of Medical or Similar Expenses	
	Rule 410	Inadmissibility of Pleas, Plea Discussions	
	Rule 411	Liability Insurance	23
E.	Article V.	Privileges	
	Rule 501	General Rules	23
F.	Article VI.	Witnesses	
	Rule 601	General Rule of Competency	23
	Rule 602	Lack of Personal Knowledge	24
	Rule 607	Who May Impeach	24
	Rule 608	Evidence of Character and Conduct of Witness	24
	Rule 609	Impeachment by Evidence of Conviction of Crime	24
	Rule 610	Religious Beliefs or Opinions	25
	Rule 611	Mode and Order of Interrogation and Presentation	25
	Rule 612	Writing Used to Refresh Memory	26
	Rule 613	Prior Statements of Witnesses	26
G.	Article VII	Opinions and Expert Testimony	
	Rule 701	Opinion Testimony by Lay Witness	26
	Rule 702	Testimony by Experts	27
	Rule 703	Basis of Opinion Testimony by Experts	27
	Rule 704	Opinion on Ultimate Issue	27
	Rule 705	Disclosure of Facts or Data Underlying Expert Opinion	27
Н.	Article VII	I. Hearsay	
	Rule 801	Definitions	27
	Rule 802	Hearsay Rule	28
	Rule 803	Hearsay Exceptions, Availability of Declarant Immaterial	28
	Rule 804	Hearsay Exceptions, Declarant Unavailable	29
	Rule 805	Hearsay within Hearsay	31
I.	Article IX.	Authentication and Identification (Not Applicable)	
J.	Article X.	Contents of Writings, Recordings and Photographs	
	(Not Appli	cable)	

K. Article XI. Other

	Rule 1103 Title	31
III.	Team Roster—Defense	32
IV.	Team Roster—Prosecution/Plaintiff	33
V.	Scoresheet	34
VI.	Explanation of the Performance Ratings Used on the Scoresheet	35
VII.	Timekeeper's Responsibilities	36
VIII.	Timekeeping Procedures	37
IX.	Time Card Use Table	39
Χ.	Timekeeping Sheet	40

I. RULES OF THE COMPETITION

A. ADMINISTRATION

Rule 1.1. Rules

All trials are governed by the *Rules of the Kentucky High School Mock Trial Competition* and the *Federal Rules of Evidence (Mock Trial Version)*.

Questions or interpretations of these rules are within the discretion of the mock trial coordinator, whose decision is final.

Rule 1.2. Code of Conduct

The *Rules of Competition*, as well as proper rules of courthouse and courtroom decorum and security, must be followed. The mock trial coordinator possesses discretion to impose sanctions, including but not limited to disqualification, immediate eviction from the mock trial competition, and forfeiture of all fees and awards (if applicable) for any misconduct occurring while a team is present for the mock trial competition, for flagrant rule violations, and for breaches of decorum which affect the conduct of a trial or which impugn the reputation or integrity of any team, school, participant, court officer, judge, or the mock trial program.

Rule 1.3. Emergencies

In the event of an emergency that causes a team to be unable to participate in any round, the team will forfeit all rounds from that point.

During a trial, the presiding judge shall have discretion to declare an emergency and adjourn the trial for a short period of time to address the emergency.

Rule 1.4. Student Timekeepers

Each team attending the Kentucky High School Mock Trial Competition is responsible for providing a timekeeper equipped with two stopwatches and a set of "Time Remaining" cards with the following designations to signal time: 20:00, 15:00, 10:00, 5:00, 4:00, 3:00, 2:00, 1:00, 0:40, 0:20, and STOP. Throughout the duration of the trial, a timekeeper may not communicate with his/her team in any way other than to display time remaining cards, unless directed by the presiding judge. (Also see Rules 3.2, 4.5, 4.6, and timekeeping information on pages 36-40.)

B. THE PROBLEM

Rule 2.1. The Problem

The problem is an original fact pattern which may contain any or all of the following: statement of facts, indictment, stipulations, witness statements/affidavits, jury charge, and exhibits. Stipulations may not be disputed at trial. Witness statements may not be altered.

The problem shall consist of three witnesses per side, all of whom shall have names and characteristics which would allow them to be played by either males or females. All three of the witnesses must be called.

Rule 2.2. Witness Bound by Statements

Each witness is bound by the facts contained in his/her own witness statement, the Statement of Facts, if present, and/or any necessary documentation relevant to his/her testimony. Fair extrapolations may be allowed, provided reasonable inference may be made from the witness' statement. If, in direct examination, an attorney asks a question which calls for extrapolated information pivotal to the facts at issue, the information is subject to objection under Rule 2.3, "unfair extrapolation."

A witness is not bound by facts contained in other witness statements.

Rule 2.3. Unfair Extrapolation

A fair extrapolation is one that is neutral. Unfair extrapolations are best attacked through impeachment and closing arguments and are to be dealt with in the course of the trial.

If a witness is asked information not contained in the witness' statement, the answer must be consistent with the statement and may not materially affect the witness' testimony or any substantive issue of the case.

Attorneys for the opposing team may refer to Rule 2.3 in a special objection, such as "unfair extrapolation" or "This information is beyond the scope of the statement of facts."

Possible rulings by a judge include:

- a) No extrapolation has occurred;
- b) An unfair extrapolation has occurred;
- c) The extrapolation was fair; or
- d) Ruling is taken under advisement.

The decision of the presiding judge regarding extrapolations or evidentiary matters is final. When an attorney objects to an extrapolation, the judge shall rule in open court to clarify the course of further proceedings.

Rule 2.4. Gender of Witnesses

All witnesses are gender neutral. Personal pronoun changes in witness statements indicating gender of the characters may be made. Any student may portray the role of any witness of either gender.

Rule 2.5. Voir Dire

Voir dire examination of a witness is not permitted.

C. TEAMS

Rule 3.1. Team Eligibility

Each public or private school in Kentucky may register up to two (2) teams in the competition. All team members must be enrolled in grades 9 - 12 in the registering school. The only exception to this is in the event an uneven number of teams registers for the state high school tournament. For power matching to work most effectively so that no team draws a bye, an even number of competing teams is needed. In the event that an uneven number of teams registers for the 2009 Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament, the winner of the 2009 Kentucky Middle School Mock Trial Tournament will be invited to compete as a guest participant in the first four rounds. However, this middle school team would not be permitted to compete in the Semifinal Round (if necessary) or in the Championship Round. Additionally, this middle school team would be expected to comply with the high school *Rules of Competition* and, for pairing purposes in Round 1 (random draw) and Rounds 2-4 (power matching), would not be paired to compete against a high school team for which this middle school is a feeder school. (Also see Rule 5.8)

a) TOURNAMENT FORMAT AND PAIRINGS

- The entire 2009 Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament will be held on one weekend
 in Louisville. On the designated weekend, all teams will gather in Louisville and will
 participate in at least four rounds of competition over two days. In order to determine the
 state champion, the teams that advance through the competition with the best record will
 compete in one or two additional rounds on the third day.
- 2. Teams will be matched randomly for the first round of the state competition. Teams must be prepared to represent either party since they will have to switch sides as they progress through the competition. Each team is guaranteed to do both sides of the case at least once. All rounds following Round One will be power matched.

3. All teams are highly encouraged to set up at least two practice rounds (scrimmages) with other schools prior to the state competition. Contact teacher coaches to set up your practice rounds.

Rule 3.2. Team Composition

Each team should have a teacher sponsor and an attorney coach. Only <u>one practicing</u> <u>attorney</u> or <u>judge</u> can be designated as the official attorney coach, although other local attorneys and judges may assist.

A team is composed of 6-12 students who are assigned to roles representing the prosecution/plaintiff and defense/defendant sides. Only **six** members may participate in any given round. (See also Rule 3.3.)

A list of the names of the 6-12 team members along with the names of the school and coaches must be submitted at the registration table upon arriving at the tournament. Once submitted, the names of the team members are final and may not be changed. At no time may a team for any reason substitute other persons for official team members.

Additionally, a person will be designated as the official timekeeper. The official timekeeper must meet the requirements of Rule 1.4 as the team's **official timekeeper**, and may be (but need not be) one of the 12 official members. The timekeeper will keep time for his/her own team as well as his/her opponent. The student timekeepers will flash cards to both teams, showing the teams how much time they have. The timekeepers should know in advance when to stop and start the time. (See also Rules 4.5, 4.6, and timekeeping information on pages 36-40.)

Rule 3.3. Team Presentation

Teams must present both the Prosecution/Plaintiff and Defense/Defendant sides of the case, using six team members in each trial round. For each trial round, teams shall use three students as attorneys and three students as witnesses.

Rule 3.4. Team Duties

Team members are to evenly divide their duties. Each of the three attorneys will conduct one direct examination and one cross-examination; in addition, one will present the opening statement and another will present the closing argument. In other words, the eight attorney duties for each team will be divided as follows:

- 1. Opening Statements
- 2. Direct Examination of Witness #1
- 3. Direct Examination of Witness #2
- 4. Direct Examination of Witness #3

- 5. Cross-examination of Witness #1
- 6. Cross-examination of Witness #2
- 7. Cross-examination of Witness #3
- 8. Closing Argument (including Rebuttal) [Also see Rule 4.5]

Opening statements must be given by both sides at the beginning of the trial.

The attorney who examines a particular witness on direct examination is the only person who may make objections to the opposing attorney's questions of that witness' cross-examination, and the attorney who cross-examines a witness will be the only one permitted to make objections during the direct examination of that witness.

Each team must call all three of its assigned witnesses. Witnesses must be called only by their own team during their case-in-chief and examined by both sides. Witnesses may not be recalled by either side.

Rule 3.5. Team Roster Forms

Copies of the Team Roster forms for Prosecution/Plaintiff and Defense are provided on pages 32-33. These must be completed and duplicated by each team prior to arrival at the tournament for each round of competition. Teams must be identified by the code assigned to them at the tournament. No information identifying team origin should appear on the form.

Before beginning a trial, the teams must exchange copies of the Team Roster form. The form should identify the gender of each witness so that references to such parties will be made in the proper gender. Copies of the Team Roster form should also be made available to the judging panel and presiding judge before each round. Teams shall not knowingly disclose their place of origin to the presiding judge or any scoring judge.

D. THE TRIAL

Rule 4.1. Courtroom Setting

The Prosecution/Plaintiff team shall be seated closest to the jury box. If the jury box is located in the center, the Prosecution/Plaintiff shall be seated to the left while facing the judge. No team shall rearrange the courtroom without prior permission of the mock trial coordinator or judge.

Rule 4.2. Stipulations

Stipulations will be considered part of the record and already admitted into evidence.

Rule 4.3. Reading Into the Record Not Permitted

Stipulations, the indictment, or the Charge to the Jury will not be read into the record.

Rule 4.4. Swearing of Witnesses

The following oath may be used before questioning begins:

"Do you promise that the testimony you are about to give will faithfully and truthfully conform to the facts and rules of the mock trial competition?"

The swearing of witnesses will occur in one of two ways. Either the presiding judge will indicate all witnesses are assumed to be sworn, or the above oath will be conducted by the presiding judge. The presiding judge may swear the witnesses individually or as a group.

Rule 4.5. Trial Sequence and Time Limits

The trial sequence and time limits are as follows:

- 1. Opening Statement (Maximum of 5 minutes per side)
- 2. Direct and Redirect (optional) Examination (Maximum of 25 minutes per side)
- 3. Cross and Recross (optional) Examination (Maximum of 20 minutes per side)
- 4. Closing Argument (Maximum of 5 minutes per side)

The Prosecution/Plaintiff gives the opening statement first. The Prosecution/Plaintiff gives the closing argument first; the Prosecution/Plaintiff may reserve a portion of its closing time for a rebuttal upon asking permission from the judge. The Prosecution/Plaintiff's rebuttal is limited to the scope of the Defense's/Defendant's closing argument.

Attorneys are not required to use the entire time allotted to each part of the trial. Time remaining in one part of the trial may <u>not</u> be transferred to another part of the trial.

Rule 4.6. Timekeeping

Time limits are mandatory and will be enforced. Each team is required to have one official timekeeper for that team. Timekeepers in each trial (a) will work together to ensure that accurate time for both teams is being kept; (b) will show "time-remaining" cards simultaneously to both teams; and (c) will notify the presiding judge that "TIME" has expired at the end of each trial segment (as listed in Rule 4.5) by showing the "STOP" time card. Each team's timekeeper must meet the requirements of Rule 1.4. (See also Rule 3.2; *Timekeeper's Responsibilities*, p. 36; *Timekeeping Procedures*, pp. 37-38; *Time Card Use Table*, p. 39; and *Timekeeping Sheet*, page 40.)

Timing starts only when each attorney begins to speak (i.e. when the attorney actually says the first word of his or her opening, closing, or examination question – examples include but are not

limited to: "May it please the court...," or "Your Honor, Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury..." [for openings/closings] or "Please state your name for the court..." [for examination question]).

Timing will not start when an attorney (a) responds to a presiding judge's inquiry as to whether or not that side is ready to proceed, (b) asks for permission to reserve time for a rebuttal, or (c) asks permission to use/move a podium.

Timing stops during objections. Timing stops at the moment an attorney says, "I object..." Timing begins again after the ruling by the presiding judge and the examining attorney says the first word to continue examination.

Time for objections, questioning from the judge, for pre-trial or bench conferences, or administering the oath will not be counted as part of the allotted time during examination of witnesses and opening and closing statements.

Time **does not stop** for introduction of **exhibits**.

<u>Time discrepancies</u>: At the end of each task during the trial presentation (i.e., at the end of each opening, at the end of each witness examination, at the end of each cross-examination, and at the end of each closing argument), if there is more than a 15-second discrepancy between the teams' timekeepers, the timekeepers must notify the presiding judge of the discrepancy. The presiding judge will then rule on the discrepancy, the timekeepers will synchronize their stopwatches accordingly, and the trial will continue. No time disputes will be entertained after the trial concludes. The decisions of the presiding judge regarding the resolution of time disputes are final.

Rule 4.7. Time Extensions and Scoring

The presiding judge has sole discretion to grant time extensions. If time has expired and an attorney continues without permission from the Court, the scoring judges may determine individually whether or not to discount points in a category because of the over-runs in time.

Rule 4.8. Motions Prohibited

No motions may be made, other than motions to strike after an evidentiary ruling.

Rule 4.9. Sequestration

Teams may not invoke the rule of sequestration.

Rule 4.10. Bench Conferences

Bench conferences may be granted at the discretion of the presiding judge, but should be made from the counsel table in the educational interest of handling all matters in open court.

Rule 4.11. Supplemental Material; Costumes

Teams may refer only to materials included in the trial packet. No illustrative aids of any kind may be used, unless provided in the case packet. No enlargements of the case materials will be permitted. Absolutely no props or costumes are permitted unless authorized specifically in the case materials. Costuming is defined as hairstyles, clothing, accessories, and make-up which are case-specific.

The only documents which the teams may present to the presiding judge or scoring panel are the individual exhibits as they are introduced into evidence and the team roster forms. Exhibit notebooks are not to be provided to the presiding judge or scoring panel.

Rule 4.12. Trial Communication

Coaches, teachers, alternates, and observers shall not talk to, signal, communicate with, or coach their teams during trial. This rule remains in force during any emergency recess which may occur. Team members may, among themselves, communicate during the trial; however, no disruptive communication is allowed. Signaling of time by the teams' timekeeper shall not be considered a violation of this rule.

Coaches, teachers, alternates, and observers must remain outside the bar in the spectator section of the courtroom. Only team members participating in this round may sit inside the bar and communicate with each other. Anyone disrupting the court may be removed by the presiding judge or AOC personnel.

Rule 4.13. Viewing a Trial

Team members, alternates, attorney coaches, teacher sponsors, and any other persons directly associated with a mock trial team, except for those authorized by the AOC, are not allowed to view other teams' performances in any competition, so long as their team remains in the competition. **No person shall display anything that identifies their place of origin while in the courtroom.**

Rule 4.14. Videotaping/Photography

Any team has the option to refuse participation in videotaping, tape recording, and still photography by opposing teams. Photographers at the request of the AOC may photograph any trial. Media coverage will be allowed.

Rule 4.15. Jury Trial

The case will be tried to a jury; arguments are to be made to judge and jury. Teams should address the scoring judges as the jury.

Rule 4.16. Standing During Trial

Unless excused by the judge, attorneys will stand while giving opening and closing statements, during direct and cross-examinations, and for all objections.

Rule 4.17. Objections During Opening Statement/Closing Argument

No objections may be raised during opening statements or during closing arguments.

If a team believes an objection would have been proper during the opposing team's opening statement or closing argument, one of its attorneys may, following the opening statement or closing argument, stand to be recognized by the judge and may say, "If I had been permitted to object during opening statement/closing argument, I would have objected to the opposing team's statement that ______." The presiding judge will not rule on this "objection." Presiding and scoring judges will weigh the "objection" individually. No rebuttal by opposing team will be heard.

Rule 4.18. Objections

- 1. **Argumentative Questions:** An attorney shall not ask argumentative questions. However, the Court may, in its discretion, allow limited use of argumentative questions on cross-examination.
- 2. Lack of Proper Predicate/Foundation: Attorneys shall lay proper foundation prior to moving the admission of evidence. After the exhibit has been offered into evidence, the exhibit may still be objected to on other grounds.
- 3. **Assuming Facts Not in Evidence:** Attorneys may not ask a question that assumes unproved facts. However, an expert witness may be asked a question based upon stated assumptions, the truth of which is reasonably supported by evidence (sometimes called a "hypothetical question").
- 4. **Questions Calling for Narrative or General Answer:** Questions must be stated so as to call for a specific answer. (Example of improper question: "Tell us what you know about this case.")
- 5. **Non-Responsive Answer:** A witness' answer is objectionable if it fails to respond to the question asked.
- 6. **Repetition:** Questions designed to elicit the same testimony or evidence previously presented in its entirety are improper if merely offered as a repetition of the same testimony or evidence from the same or similar source.

Teams are not precluded from raising additional objections which are available under the *Federal Rules of Evidence (Mock Trial Version)*.

Rule 4.19. Reserved.

Rule 4.20. Procedure for Introduction of Exhibits

As an example, the following steps effectively introduce evidence:

- 1. All evidence shall be pre-marked as exhibits.
- 2. Show the exhibit to opposing counsel.
- 3. Ask for permission to approach the witness. "Your honor, may I approach the witness with what has been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit No. ____?"
- 4. Ask the witness to identify the exhibit. "I now hand you what has been marked as Exhibit No.___ for identification. Would you identify it please?" Witness should answer to identify only.
- 5. Ask the witness a series of questions that are offered for proof of the admissibility of the exhibit. These questions lay the foundation or predicate for admissibility, including questions of the relevance and materiality of the exhibit.
- 6. Offer the exhibit into evidence. "Your Honor, we offer Exhibit No.__ into evidence at this time. The authenticity of this exhibit has been stipulated."
- 7. Court: "Is there an objection?" (If opposing counsel believes a proper foundation has not been laid, the attorney should be prepared to object at this time.)
- 8. Opposing Counsel: "No, your Honor," or "Yes, your Honor." If the response is "yes," the objection shall be stated for the record. Court: "Is there any response to the objection?"
- 9. Court: "Exhibit No. __ (is/is not) admitted."

Rule 4.21. Use of Notes

Attorneys may use notes in presenting their cases, however points will be taken off. Witnesses are not permitted to use notes while testifying during the trial. Attorneys may consult with each other at counsel table verbally or through the use of notes.

Rule 4.22. Redirect/Recross

Redirect and Recross-examinations are permitted, provided they conform to the restrictions in Rule 611(d) in the *Federal Rules of Evidence (Mock Trial Version)*.

Rule 4.23. Scope of Closing Arguments

Closing arguments must be based on the actual evidence and testimony presented at trial.

Rule 4.24. The Critique (Optional for teams)

All completed scoresheets should be sent to the tabulation room immediately following the closing arguments. After this, if one or both teams request a private critique, the judging panel <u>may</u> conduct this in a jury room or empty courtroom beginning with the team representing the prosecution/plaintiff. A team that elects to participate may choose to

send to the critique both their coaches and students <u>or</u> to send their coaches only. Such a critique is not required but may be provided if time permits, space is available, and a request is made by one or both teams. All critiques are to be limited to a maximum of 15 minutes per team. The purpose of such a debriefing is to suggest ways a team can improve as it advances through the tournament. Judges may not inform the students of scoresheet results. **There is no critique following the fourth round.**

Rule 4.25. Offers of Proof

No offers of proof or avowal may be requested or tendered.

E. JUDGING AND TEAM ADVANCEMENT

Rule 5.1. Finality of Decisions

All decisions of the judging panel are FINAL.

Rule 5.2. Composition of Judging Panels

The judging panel will consist of at least three individuals. The composition of the judging panel and the role of the presiding judge will be at the discretion of the mock trial coordinator, with the same format used throughout the competition, as follows:

1. One presiding judge and three scoring judges (scoring judges only complete scoresheets);

or

2. One presiding judge and two scoring judges (all three of whom complete scoresheets).

At the discretion of the mock trial coordinator, the Semifinal Round (if necessary) and the Championship Round may have a larger panel. All presiding and scoring judges receive the mock trial case in advance.

Rule 5.3. Scoresheets/Ballots

The term "ballot" will refer to the decision made by a scoring judge as to which team made the better presentation in the round. The term "scoresheet" is used in reference to the form on which presentation points are recorded. Scoresheets are to be completed individually by the scoring judges. Scoring judges are not bound by the rulings of the presiding judge. The team that earns the highest points on an individual judge's scoresheet is the winner of that ballot. (See sample *Scoresheet* and *Explanation of the Performance Ratings Used on the Scoresheet* on pages 34-35.)

The team that receives the majority of the three ballots wins the round. The ballot votes determine the win/loss record of the team for power-matching and ranking purposes.

The judging panel should not deliberate about individual scores.

*Scoring shall be on knowledge, application, and performance, not on outcome. Scoring shall be based on the knowledge and application of the student witnesses and attorneys. Scores should not be based on the merits or outcome of the case as it would be in an actual trial.

Rule 5.4. Completion of Scoresheets

Each scoring judge shall record a number of points (1-10) for each presentation of the trial. No decimal or fractional points may be used. At the end of the trial, each scoring judge shall total the sum of each team's individual points and place this sum in the Column Totals box. No tie is allowed in the Column Totals box. (See sample *Scoresheet* and *Explanation of the Performance Ratings Used on the Scoresheet* on pages 34-35.)

Point people assigned to each courtroom should collect three types of documents at the end of each trial: (1) a scoresheet from each scoring judge which shows each team's total points [no point ties are allowed], (2) two team rosters from the presiding judge and each scoring judge on which the judge has circled names of the outstanding witness and the outstanding attorney from each team for that round [Rounds 1-4 only], and (3) two timekeeping sheets.

Rule 5.5. Team Advancement

Teams will be ranked based on the following criteria in the order listed:

- 1. Win/Loss Record equals the number of rounds won or lost by a team;*
- 2. Total Number of Ballots equals the number of scoring judges' votes a team earned in preceding rounds;
- 3. Total Number of Points Accumulated in Each Round;
- 4. Point Spread against Opponents the point spread is the difference between the total points earned by the team whose tie is being broken less the total points of that team's opponent in each previous round. The greatest sum of these point spreads will break the tie in favor of the team with the largest cumulative point spread.

*If three schools have a 4-0 win/loss record after four rounds of competition, a Semifinal Round will be necessary to determine the two finalists that will advance to the state Championship Round. (Also see Rule 5.6.)

Rule 5.6. Power Matching/Seeding

A random method of selection will determine opponents in the first round. A power-match system will determine opponents for all other rounds (with consideration of side constraints). The

two teams emerging with the strongest record will advance to the final (championship) round. The first-place team will be determined by ballots from the championship round only.

Power matching will provide that:

- 1. Pairings for the first round will be at random;
- 2. All teams are guaranteed to present each side of the case at least once; bracket integrity in power matching will supersede alternate side presentation;
- 3. Brackets will be determined by win/loss record with consideration of side constraints. Sorting within brackets will be determined in the following order: (1) win/loss record; (2) side constraints; (3) ballots; (4) total points; then (5) point spread. The team with the highest number of ballots in the bracket will be matched with the team with the lowest number of ballots in the bracket [with consideration of side constraints]; the next highest with the next lowest, and so on until all teams are paired;
- 4. If there is an odd number of teams in a bracket, the team at the top of that bracket will be matched with the top team from the next lower bracket;
- 5. Teams will not meet the same opponent twice unless both reach the championship round.

After Round 4 is completed, if only two teams have a 4-0 win-loss record, those two teams will advance directly to the Championship Round.

However, if three teams end with a 4-0 win-loss record, a Semifinal Round involving the top four teams will be necessary. Under those circumstances, the highest ranking team with a 3-1 win-loss record will join the three 4-0 teams to make up the Top Four. These four teams will be power matched in the Semifinals (2 trials simultaneously) with the winners advancing to the Championship Round. The only exception in the Semifinals to the normal power-matching rules is that the 3-1 team may NOT be matched against a team they have previously competed against in the tournament.

Rule 5.7. Selection of Sides for Semifinal Round (if necessary) and Championship Round

In determining which team will represent which side in the Semifinal Round (if necessary) and the Championship Round, the following procedure will be used:

- 1. The team with the letter/numerical code which comes first will be considered the "Designated Team."
- 2. The coin will be tossed by a designee of the mock trial coordinator.
- 3. If the coin comes up heads, the Designated Team shall represent the prosecution/plaintiff in the Championship Round. If the coin comes up tails, the Designated Team shall represent the defense/defendant.

Rule 5.8 Odd Number of Teams Participating in Tournament

For power matching to work most effectively so that no team draws a bye, an even number of competing teams is needed. In the event that an uneven number of teams register for the 2009 Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament, the winner of the 2009 Kentucky Middle School Mock Trial Tournament will be invited to compete as a guest participant in the first four rounds. However, this middle school team would not be permitted to compete in the Semifinal Round (if necessary) or in the Championship Round. Additionally, **this middle school team** would be expected to comply with the high school *Rules of Competition* and, **for pairing purposes in Round 1** (**random draw**) and Rounds 2-4 (**power matching**), would not be paired to compete against a high school team for which this middle school is a feeder school. With this "feeder school" exception, all other power matching rules found in Rule 5.6 would apply to the participating middle school team. (Also see Rule 3.1.)

Rule 5.9 Awards

Awards will be presented to the ten teams with the best record in the tournament. Furthermore, one witness and one attorney from each team will be named to the Kentucky All-State Mock Trial Team.

Selections for the All-State Mock Trial Team will be determined as follows:

- (a) At the end of each trial in Rounds 1-4, all members of the judging panel will identify on each team roster the names of one student attorney and one student witness who were judged to be most outstanding from that team. These selections will not be made public at that time.
- (b) Following the completion of Rounds 1-4, those named to the All-State Mock Trial Team will be the one student attorney and one student witness from each team who were most often identified as being outstanding by members of the judging panels.

F. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Rule 6.1. Objecting to a Rules Violation

Disputes which occur within the bar must be filed with the presiding judge immediately after the trial ends. If any team believes that a substantial rules violation has occurred, one of its student attorneys must indicate that the team intends to file a dispute. The student may communicate with participating team members before lodging the notice of dispute. The presiding judge shall rule on the matter. Any alleged violation which is not brought to the attention of the presiding judge in the above manner is waived.

At no time in this process may team sponsors or coaches communicate or consult with the student attorneys. Only student attorneys may invoke the dispute procedure.

Rule 6.2. Reserved

Rule 6.3. Effect of Violation on Score

If the presiding judge determines that a substantial rules violation has occurred, the judge will inform the scoring judges of the dispute. The dispute may or may not affect the judges' scoring decisions, but the matter shall be left to the discretion of the scoring judges. Their decision shall be FINAL.

Rule 6.4. Reserved

II. FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE (Mock Trial Version)

In American trials, complex rules are used to govern the admission of proof (i.e., oral or physical evidence). These rules are designed to ensure that all parties receive a fair hearing and to exclude evidence deemed irrelevant, incompetent, untrustworthy, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise improper. If it appears that a rule of evidence is being violated, an attorney may raise an objection to the judge. The judge then decides whether the rule has been violated and whether the evidence must be excluded from the record of the trial. In the absence of a properly made objection, however, the evidence will probably be allowed by the judge. The burden is on the mock trial team to know the Federal Rules of Evidence (Mock Trial Version) and to be able to use them to protect their client and fairly limit the actions of opposing counsel and their witnesses.

For purposes of mock trial competition, the Rules of Evidence have been modified and simplified. They are based on the Federal Rules of Evidence and its numbering system. Where rule numbers or letters are skipped, those rules were not deemed applicable to mock trial procedure. Text in italics represents simplified or modified language.

Not all judges will interpret the Rules of Evidence (or procedure) the same way, and mock trial attorneys should be prepared to point out specific rules (quoting, if necessary) and to argue persuasively for the interpretation and application of the rules they think appropriate.

ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Rule 101. Scope

These rules govern proceedings in the Kentucky High School Mock Trial Competition.

Rule 102. Purpose and Construction

These Rules are intended to ensure fairness in administration of the trials, eliminate unjust delay, and promote the laws of evidence so that the truth may be ascertained.

ARTICLE II. JUDICIAL NOTICE

Not Applicable

ARTICLE III. PRESUMPTIONS IN CIVIL ACTIONS AND PROCEEDINGS

Rule 302. Applicability of State Law in Civil Actions and Proceedings

In civil actions and proceedings, the effect of a presumption respecting a fact which is an element of a claim or defense as to which State law supplies the rule of decision is determined in accordance with State law.

ARTICLE IV. RELEVANCY AND ITS LIMITS

Rule 401. Definition of "Relevant Evidence"

"Relevant evidence" means evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence.

Rule 402. Relevant Evidence Generally Admissible; Irrelevant Evidence Inadmissible

Relevant evidence is admissible, except as otherwise provided in these Rules. *Irrelevant evidence is not admissible*.

Rule 403. Exclusion of Relevant Evidence on Grounds of Prejudice, Confusion, or Waste of Time

Although relevant, evidence may be excluded if its probative value is outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, if it confuses the issues, if it is misleading, or if it causes undue delay, wastes time, or is a needless presentation of cumulative evidence.

Rule 404. Character Evidence Not Admissible to Prove Conduct; Exceptions; Other Crimes

- (a) **Character Evidence**. -- Evidence of a person's character or *character trait*, is not admissible to prove *action regarding* a particular occasion, except:
 - (1) **Character of accused.** Evidence of a pertinent character trait offered by an accused, or by the prosecution to rebut same;
 - (2) **Character of victim**. Evidence of a pertinent character trait of the victim of the crime offered by an accused, or by the prosecution to rebut same, or evidence of a character trait of peacefulness of the victim offered by the prosecution in a homicide case to rebut evidence that the victim was the aggressor;
 - (3) **Character of witness**. Evidence of the character of a witness as provided in Rules 607, 608 and 609.
- (b) **Other crimes, wrongs, or acts**. Evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts is not admissible to prove character of a person in order to show an action conforms to character. It may, however, be admissible for other purposes, such as proof of motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident.

Rule 405. Methods of Proving Character

- (a) **Reputation or opinion**. In all cases where evidence of character or a <u>character trait</u> is admissible, proof may be made by testimony as to reputation or in the form of an opinion. On cross-examination, *questions may be asked regarding relevant, specific conduct.*
- (b) **Specific instances of conduct**. In cases where character or a character trait is an essential element of a charge, claim, or defense, proof may also be made of specific instances of that person's conduct.

Rule 406. Habit; Routine Practice

Evidence of the habit of a person or the routine practice of an organization, whether corroborated or not and regardless of the presence of eye-witnesses, is relevant to prove that the conduct of the person or organization, on a particular occasion, was in conformity with the habit or routine practice.

Rule 407. Subsequent Remedial Measures

When measures are taken after an event which, if taken before, would have made the event less likely to occur, evidence of the subsequent measures is not admissible to prove negligence or culpable conduct in connection with the event. This rule does not require the exclusion of evidence of subsequent measures when offered for another purpose - such as proving ownership, control, or feasibility of precautionary measures, if controverted, or impeachment.

Rule 408. Compromise and Offers to Compromise

Evidence of (1) furnishing or offering or promising to furnish, or (2) accepting or offering or promising to accept, a valuable consideration in compromising or attempting to compromise a claim which was disputed as to either validity or amount, is not admissible to prove liability for or invalidity of the claim or its amount. Evidence or conduct or statements made in compromise negotiations is likewise not admissible. This rule does not require the exclusion of any evidence otherwise discoverable merely because it is presented in the course of compromise negotiations. This rule also does not require exclusion when the evidence is offered for another purpose, such as proving bias or prejudice of a witness, negativing a contention of undue delay, or proving an effort to obstruct investigation or prosecution.

Rule 409. Payment of Medical or Similar Expenses

Evidence of furnishing or offering or promising to pay medical, hospital, or similar expenses occasioned by an injury is not admissible to prove liability for the injury.

Rule 410. Inadmissibility of Pleas, Plea Discussions, and Related Statements

Except as otherwise provided in this Rule, evidence of the following is not, in any civil or criminal proceeding, admissible against a defendant who made the plea or was a participant in the plea discussions:

- (1) a plea of guilty which was later withdrawn;
- (2) a plea of nolo contendere;
- (3) any statement made in the course of any proceeding under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure or comparable state procedure regarding either of the forgoing pleas; or
- (4) any statement made in the course of plea discussions with an attorney for the prosecuting authority which do not result in a plea of guilty or which results in a plea of guilty which is later withdrawn.

However, such a statement is admissible (1) in any proceeding wherein another statement made in the course of the same plea or plea discussions has been introduced and the statement ought, in fairness, be considered with it, or (2) in a criminal proceeding for perjury or false statement if the statement was made by the defendant under oath, on the record and in the presence of counsel.

Rule 411. Liability Insurance (civil case only)

Evidence that a person was or was not insured against liability is not admissible upon the issue whether the person acted negligently or otherwise wrongfully. This rule does not require the exclusion of evidence of insurance against liability when offered for another purpose, such as proof of agency, ownership, or control, or bias or prejudice of a witness.

ARTICLE V. PRIVILEGES

Rule 501. General Rule

There are certain admissions and communications excluded from evidence on grounds of public policy. Among these are:

- (1) communications between husband and wife;
- (2) communications between attorney and client;
- (3) communications among grand jurors;
- (4) secrets of state; and
- (5) communications between psychiatrist and patient.

ARTICLE VI. WITNESSES

Rule 601. General Rule of Competency

Every person is competent to be a witness.

Rule 602. Lack of Personal Knowledge

A witness may not testify to a matter unless the witness has personal knowledge of the matter. Evidence to prove personal knowledge may, but need not, consist of the witness' own testimony. This rule is subject to the provisions of Rule 703, related to opinion testimony by expert witnesses. (See Rule 2.2.)

Rule 607. Who May Impeach

The credibility of a witness may be attacked by any party, including the party calling the witness.

Rule 608. Evidence of Character and Conduct of Witness

- (a) Opinion and reputation evidence of character. -- The credibility of a witness may be attacked or supported by evidence in the form of opinion or reputation, but subject to these limitations: (1) the evidence may refer only to character for truthfulness or untruthfulness, and (2) evidence of truthful character is admissible only after the character of the witness for truthfulness has been attacked by opinion or reputation evidence, or otherwise.
- (b) Specific instances of conduct. -- Specific instances of the conduct of a witness, for the purpose of attacking or supporting the witness' credibility, other than conviction of crime as provided in Rule 609, may not be proved by extrinsic evidence. They may, however, in the discretion of the Court, if probative of truthfulness or untruthfulness, be asked on cross-examination of the witness (1) concerning the witness' character for truthfulness or untruthfulness or untruthfulness of another witness as to which character the witness being cross-examined has testified.

Testimony, whether by an accused or by any other witness, does not operate as a waiver of the accused's or the witness' privilege against self-incrimination with respect to matters related only to credibility.

Rule 609. Impeachment by Evidence of Conviction of Crime (This rule applies only to witnesses with prior convictions.)

(a) General Rule. -- For the purpose of attacking the credibility of a witness, evidence that a witness other than the accused has been convicted of a crime shall be admitted if elicited from the witness or established by public record during cross-examination, but only if the crime was punishable by death or imprisonment of one year or more, and the Court determines that the probative value of admitting this evidence outweighs its prejudicial effect to the accused. Evidence that any witness has been convicted of a crime shall be admitted if it involved dishonesty or false statement, regardless of the punishment.

- (b) **Time Limit**. -- Evidence of a conviction under this Rule is not admissible if a period of more than ten years has elapsed since the date of the conviction or of the release of the witness from the confinement imposed for that conviction, whichever is the later date, unless the Court determines that the value of the conviction substantially outweighs its prejudicial effect. However, evidence of a conviction more than 10 years old as calculated herein, is not admissible unless the proponent gives to the adverse party sufficient advance written notice of intent to use such evidence to provide the adverse party with a fair opportunity to contest the use of such evidence.
- (c) **Effect of pardon, annulment, or certificate of rehabilitation**. -- Evidence of a conviction is not admissible if (1) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of the rehabilitation of the person convicted of a subsequent crime which was punishable by death or imprisonment of one year or more, or (2) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.
- (d) **Juvenile adjudication**. Evidence of juvenile adjudications is generally not admissible under this rule. The court may, however, in a criminal case allow evidence of a juvenile adjudication of a witness other than the accused if conviction of the offense would be admissible to attack the credibility of an adult and the court is satisfied that admission in evidence is necessary for a fair determination of the issue of guilt or innocence.
- (e) Not applicable.

Rule 610. Religious Beliefs or Opinions

Evidence of the beliefs or opinions of a witness on matters of religion is not admissible for the purpose of showing that by reason of their nature the witness' credibility is impaired or enhanced.

Rule 611. Mode and Order of Interrogation and Presentation

- (a) **Control by Court**. The Court shall exercise reasonable control over *questioning* of witnesses and presenting evidence so as to:
 - 1. make the *questioning* and presentation effective for ascertaining the truth,
 - 2. to avoid needless use of time, and
 - 3. protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.
- (b) Scope of cross-examination. The scope of cross-examination shall not be limited to the scope of the direct examination, but may inquire into any relevant facts or matters contained in the witness' statement, including all reasonable inferences that can be drawn from those facts and matters, and may inquire into any omissions from the witness statement that are otherwise material and admissible.

- (c) **Leading questions**. Leading questions should not be used on direct examination of a witness (except as may be necessary to develop the witness' testimony). Ordinarily, leading questions are permitted on cross-examination. When a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party, leading questions may be used.
- (d) **Redirect/Recross**. After cross-examination, additional questions may be asked by the direct examining attorney, but questions must be limited to matters raised by the attorney on cross-examination. Likewise, additional questions may be asked by the cross-examining attorney on recross, but such questions must be limited to matters raised on redirect examination and should avoid repetition.

Rule 612. Writing Used to Refresh Memory

If a written statement is used to refresh the memory of a witness either while or before testifying, the Court shall determine that the adverse party is entitled to have the writing produced for inspection. The adverse party may cross examine the witness on the material and introduce into evidence those portions which relate to the testimony of the witness.

Rule 613. Prior Statements of Witnesses

Examining witness concerning prior statement. -- In examining a witness concerning a prior statement made by the witness, whether written or not, the statement need not be shown nor its contents disclosed to the witness at that time, but on request the same shall be shown or disclosed to opposing counsel.

Extrinsic evidence of prior inconsistent statement of witness. -- Extrinsic evidence of a prior inconsistent statement by a witness is not admissible unless the witness is afforded an opportunity to explain or deny the same and the opposite party is afforded an opportunity to interrogate.

ARTICLE VII. OPINIONS AND EXPERT TESTIMONY

Rule 701. Opinion Testimony by Lay Witness

If the witness is not testifying as an expert, the witness' testimony in the form of opinions or inferences is limited to those opinions or inferences which are (a) rationally based on the perception of the witness and (b) helpful to a clear understanding of the witness' testimony or the determination of a fact in issue.

Rule 702. Testimony by Experts

If scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education, may testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise.

Rule 703. Basis of Opinion Testimony by Experts

The facts or data upon which an expert bases an opinion may be those perceived by or made known to the expert at or before the hearing. If of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in the field in forming opinions or inferences, the facts or data need not be admissible in evidence.

Rule 704. Opinion on Ultimate Issue

- (a) Opinion or inference testimony otherwise admissible is not objectionable because it embraces an issue to be decided by the trier of fact.
- (b) In a criminal case, an expert witness shall not express an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the accused.

Rule 705. Disclosure of Facts or Data Underlying Expert Opinion

The expert may testify in terms of opinion or inference and give reasons therefore without prior disclosure of the underlying facts or data, unless the Court requires otherwise. The expert may in any event be required to disclose the underlying facts or data on cross-examination.

ARTICLE VIII. HEARSAY

Rule 801. Definitions

The following definitions apply under this article:

- (a) Statement. A "statement" is an oral or written assertion or nonverbal conduct of a person, if it is intended by the person as an assertion.
- (b) Declarant. A "declarant" is a person who makes a statement.
- (c) Hearsay. "Hearsay" is a statement, other than one made by the declarant while testifying at the trial or hearing, offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted.

- (d) Statements which are not hearsay. -- A statement is not hearsay if:
 - (1) **Prior statement by witness.** -- The declarant testifies at the trial or hearing and is subject to cross-examination concerning the statement and the statement is (A) inconsistent with the declarant's testimony, and was given under oath subject to the penalty of perjury at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding, or in a deposition, or (B) consistent with the declarant's testimony and is offered to rebut an express or implied charge against the declarant of recent fabrication or improper influence or motive, or (C) one of identification of a person made after perceiving the person; or
 - (2) Admission by a party-opponent. -- The statement is offered against a party and is (A) the party's own statement in either an individual or a representative capacity or (B) a statement of which the party has manifested an adoption or belief in its truth, or (C) a statement by a person authorized by the party to make a statement concerning the subject, or (D) a statement by the party's agent or servant concerning a matter within the scope of the agency or employment, made during the existence of the relationship, or (E) a statement by a co-conspirator of a party during the course in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Rule 802. Hearsay Rule

Hearsay is not admissible, except as provided by these rules.

Rule 803. Hearsay Exceptions, Availability of Declarant Immaterial

The following are not excluded by the hearsay rule, even though the declarant is available as a witness:

- (1) **Present sense impression.** A statement describing or explaining an event or condition made while the declarant was perceiving the event or condition, or immediately thereafter.
- (2) **Excited utterance.** A statement relating to a startling event or condition made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement caused by the event or condition.
- (3) Then existing mental, emotional, or physical conditions. -- A statement of the declarant's then existing state of mind, emotion, sensation, or physical condition (such as intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, and bodily health), but not including a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed unless it relates to the execution, revocation, identification, or terms of declarant's will.
- (4) **Statements for purposes of medical diagnosis or treatment.** -- Statements made for the purpose of medical diagnosis or treatment.

- (5) **Recorded Recollection.** -- A memorandum or record concerning a matter about which a witness once had knowledge but now has insufficient recollection to enable the witness to testify fully and accurately, shown to have been made or adopted by the witness when the matter was fresh in the witness' memory and to reflect that knowledge correctly.
- (6) Records of regularly conducted activity. A memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinions, or diagnoses, made at or near the time by, or from information transmitted by, a person with knowledge, if kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity, and if it was the regular practice of that business activity to make the memorandum, report, record, or data compilation, all as shown by the testimony of the custodian or other qualified witness, unless the source of information or the method or circumstances or preparation indicate lack of trustworthiness. The term "business" as used in this paragraph includes business, institution, associations, profession, occupation, and calling of every kind, whether or not conducted for profit.
- (18) **Learned treatises**. -- To the extent called to the attention of an expert witness upon cross-examination or relied upon by the expert witness in direct examination, statements contained in published treatises, periodicals, or pamphlets on a subject of history, medicine, or other science or art, established as a reliable authority by the testimony or admission of the witness or by other expert testimony or by judicial notice.
- (21) **Reputation as to character**. -- Reputation of a person's character among associates or in the community.
- (22) **Judgment of previous conviction**. -- Evidence of a judgment finding a person guilty of a crime punishable by death or imprisonment of one year or more, to prove any fact essential to sustain the judgment, but not including, when offered by the Government in a criminal prosecution for purposes other than impeachment, judgments against persons other than the accused.

Rule 804. Hearsay Exceptions, Declarant Unavailable

- (a) **Definition of unavailability.** "Unavailability as a witness" includes situations in which the declarant
 - (1) is exempted by ruling of the court on the ground of privilege from testifying concerning the subject matter of the declarant's statement; or
 - (2) persists in refusing to testify concerning the subject matter of the declarant's statement despite an order of the court to do so; or
 - (3) testifies to a lack of memory of the subject matter of the declarant's statement; or

- (4) is unable to be present or to testify at the hearing because of death or then existing physical or mental illness or infirmity; or
- (5) is absent from the hearing and the proponent of a statement has been unable to procure the declarant's attendance (or in the case of a hearsay exception under subdivision (b)(2), (3), or (4), the declarant's attendance or testimony) by process or other reasonable means.

A declarant is not unavailable as a witness if exemption, refusal, claim of lack of memory, inability, or absence is due to the procurement or wrongdoing of the proponent of a statement for the purpose of preventing the witness from attending or testifying.

- (b) **Hearsay exceptions.** The following are not excluded by the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness:
 - (1) **Former testimony.** Testimony given as a witness at another hearing of the same or a different proceeding, or in a deposition taken in compliance with law in the course of the same or another proceeding, if the party against whom the testimony is now offered or, in a civil action or proceeding, a predecessor in interest, had an opportunity and similar motive to develop the testimony by direct, cross, or redirect examination.
 - (2) **Statement under belief of impending death.** In a prosecution for homicide or in a civil action or proceeding, a statement made by a declarant while believing that the declarant's death was imminent, concerning the cause or circumstances of what the Declarant believed to be impending death.
 - (3) **Statement against interest.** A statement which was at the time of its making so far contrary to the declarant's pecuniary or proprietary interest, or so far tended to subject the declarant to civil or criminal liability, or to render invalid a claim by the declarant against another, that a reasonable person in the declarant's position would not have made the statement unless believing it to be true. A statement tending to expose the declarant to criminal liability and offered to exculpate the accused is not admissible unless corroborating circumstances clearly indicate the trustworthiness of the statement.
 - (4) **Statement of personal or family history.** (A) A statement concerning the declarant's own birth, adoption, marriage, divorce, legitimacy, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, ancestry, or other similar fact of personal or family history, even though declarant had no means of acquiring personal knowledge of the matter stated; (B) a statement concerning the foregoing matters, and death also, of another person, if the declarant was related to the other by blood, adoption, or marriage or was so intimately associated with the other's family as to be likely to have accurate information concerning the matter declared.
 - (5) **Forfeiture by wrongdoing.** A statement offered against a party that has engaged or acquiesced in wrongdoing that was intended to, and did, procure the unavailability of the declarant as a witness.

Rule 805. Hearsay within Hearsay

Hearsay included within hearsay is not excluded under the hearsay rule if each part of the combined statement conforms with an exception to the hearsay rule provided in these rules.

ARTICLE IX. AUTHENTICATION AND IDENTIFICATION

Not applicable.

ARTICLE X. CONTENTS OF WRITING, RECORDINGS AND PHOTOGRAPHS

Not applicable.

ARTICLE XI. OTHER

Rule 1103. Title.

These rules may be known and cited as the Federal Rules of Evidence (Mock Trial Version).

2009 Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament

TEAM ROSTER – DEFENSE

Team roster forms are to be duplicated and completed by each team prior to each round and presented to the Presiding Judge (1), Scoring Judges (3), and opposing counsel (1) before the round begins (5 per trial). Your team must be identified only by your TEAM CODE.

TEAM CODE:					
Round (circle or	ne): I II	III IV	Semifinal Final		
NAME of STUDENT ATTORNEYS	TASKS	WITN	NESSES EXAMINED		
1)(Student's Name)	Opening/Dir/C-	-X Direct:	(Witness)		
		Cross:	(Witness)		
2)(Student's Name)	Closing/Dir/C-2	X Direct:	(Witness)		
		Cross:	(Witness)		
3)(Student's Name)	Dir/C-X	Direct:	(Witness)		
		Cross:	(Witness)		
NAME of STUDENT WITNESSES (List in order of appearance)	GENDER OF	F WITNESS	ROLE to be PORTRAYED		
4)(Student's Name)	. M	F	(Witness)		
5)(Student's Name)	. M	F	(Witness)		
6)(Student's Name)	. M	F	(Witness)		

Note to presiding and scoring judges about "Outstanding Attorney" and "Outstanding Witness" awards:

At the end of the trial, please **circle** above the names of **one student attorney and one student witness** who were most outstanding from this team. (Please do not make your choices public.) This roster, along with your scoresheet, should be sent to the tally room.

2009 Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament

TEAM ROSTER - PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF

Team roster forms are to be duplicated and completed by each team prior to each round and presented to the Presiding Judge (1), Scoring Judges (3), and opposing counsel (1) before the round begins (5 per trial). Your team must be identified only by your TEAM CODE.

TEAM CODE: Round (circle one): I II III IV Semifinal Final						
NAME of STUDENT ATTORNEYS	TASKS	WITN	ESSES EXAMINED			
1)(Student's Name)	Opening/Dir/C-X	Direct:	(Witness)			
		Cross:	(Witness)			
2)(Student's Name)	Closing/Dir/C-X	Direct:	(Witness)			
			(Witness)			
3)(Student's Name)	Dir/C-X		(Witness)			
NAME CONTINUE		Cross:	(Witness)			
NAME of STUDENT WITNESSES (List in order of appearance)	GENDER OF V	VITNESS	ROLE to be PORTRAYED			
4)(Student's Name)	M	F	(Witness)			
5)(Student's Name)		F	(Witness)			
6)(Student's Name)	M	F	(Witness)			

Note to presiding and scoring judges about "Outstanding Attorney" and "Outstanding Witness" awards:

At the end of the trial, please **circle** above the names of **one student attorney and one student witness** who were most outstanding from this team. (Please do not make your choices public.) This roster, along with your scoresheet, should be sent to the tally room.

2009 Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament **Scoresheet**

P = Prosecution/Plaintiff:	D	= Defer	nse: _				
	(Team Code)				((Team Code)	
Date:	ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE)	1	2	3	4	Semifinal	Final

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. DO NOT use decimals or fractional points nor award zero points. NO TIES ALLOWED IN TOTAL POINTS.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

SCORESHE	ET/BALLOT	Р		D
Opening	Statement			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination			
First Witness:			Cross-examination	
	Witness Presentation			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination			
Second Witness:			Cross-examination	
	Witness Presentation			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination			
Third Witness:			Cross-examination	
	Witness Presentation			
Defense/Defendant			Direct Examination	
First Witness:	Cross-examination			
			Witness Presentation	
Defense/Defendant			Direct Examination	
Second Witness:	Cross-examination			
			Witness Presentation	
Defense/Defendant			Direct Examination	
Third Witness:	Cross-examination			
			Witness Presentation	
Closing	Argument			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scor	es in each column.			

Judge's Code	

Explanation of the Performance Ratings Used on the Scoresheet

(See Rules 5.3, 5.4 and Scoresheet)

Individual participants will be rated on a scale of 1-10 points, according to their roles in the trial. The Scoring Judge is scoring <u>individual performance</u> in each category. <u>The scoring judge is NOT scoring the legal merits of the case</u>.

Scoring Judges may individually consider penalties for violation of the Rules of the Competition. Penalties would reduce point awards in the appropriate performance categories below. Penalties will not be indicated separately on the scoresheet.

Judges may recognize outstanding individual presentations by selecting one OUTSTANDING ATTORNEY and one OUTSTANDING WITNESS per round per team. Each judge determines individually which student will receive his/her vote.

Points	Performance	Criteria for Evaluating Student Performance
1 - 2	Not Effective	Unsure of self, illogical, uninformed, not prepared, speaks incoherently, and ineffective in communication
3 - 4	Fair	Minimally informed and prepared. Performance is passable, but lacks depth in terms of knowledge of task and materials; communications lack clarity and conviction
5 - 6	Good	Good, solid, but less than spectacular performance; can perform outside of written notes, but with less confidence than when using written notes; logic and organization are adequate, but does not convey mastery of same; communications are clear and understandable, but could be stronger in fluency and persuasiveness
7 - 8	Excellent	Fluent, persuasive, clear and understandable; well organized materials and thoughts; exhibits mastery of the case and materials; thinks quickly and spontaneously; does not read from notes
9 - 10	Outstanding	Exceptional presentation; flawless; superior in qualities listed for performance meriting 7-8 points

The team with the higher number of points shall win the ballot; the team winning the majority of the ballots shall win the round.

Scoring Judges are reminded to tally all scores, check totals closely, and sign the scoresheet before returning the scoresheet to the appropriate tournament official.

2009 Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament

Timekeeper's Responsibilities

(See Rules 1.4, 3.2, 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7)

- Each team is responsible for reviewing the following procedural information and Rules 1.4, 4.5, and
 4.6 outlining the timekeeper's responsibilities for keeping time accurately and fairly throughout the state tournament.
- WORKING TOGETHER as a "neutral timing team," timekeepers from both teams (a) will ensure that accurate time for both teams has been kept; (b) will show "time-remaining" cards simultaneously to both teams; and (c) will notify the presiding judge that "TIME" has expired at the end of each segment of the trial by showing the "STOP" time card. The four trial segments as defined in Rule 4.5, Trial Sequence and Time Limits, are as follows: (1) Opening Statement (maximum of 5 minutes per side; (2) Direct and Redirect Examination (maximum of 25 minutes per side); (3) Cross and Recross-examination (maximum of 20 minutes per side); and (4) Closing Argument (maximum of 5 minutes per side).
- Each team is responsible for training at least one team member to serve as the team's official timekeeper.
- **ALL TEAMS** are to bring to the state tournament:
 - Two (2) STOP WATCHES (one for keeping time for the Prosecution/Plaintiff side and one for keeping time for the Defense side, regardless of which side your team is presenting in a given round) – required
 - A trained OFFICIAL TIMEKEEPER required
 - o Clipboard for the timekeeper optional
 - o Two pencils
 - One set of "Time-Remaining" Cards with the following designations to signal time: 20:00, 15:00, 10:00, 5:00, 4:00, 3:00, 2:00, 1:00, 0:40, 0:20, and STOP.
 - o Time Card Use Table (found on page 39—should duplicate one copy)
 - o Timekeeping Sheet (found on page 40—should duplicate 4-6 copies)

No stop watches, clipboards, or pencils will be available from the mock trial coordinator at the competition site.

- All teams must use the "Time Remaining" cards that are described in Rule 1.4 and NO others. Time intervals may not be altered in any way.
- Teams and their official timekeepers are responsible for being proficient in the timekeeping responsibilities. The team's timekeeper must be familiar with the trial sequence, and is encouraged to have practiced completing the timekeeping sheet during team scrimmages or practice rounds before the tournament begins.
- In each trial, both teams' timekeepers will sit in the front row of the jury box and keep time for both teams. In each trial, both timekeepers will turn in the completed and signed timing sheet to the presiding judge.

Timekeeping Procedures

I. **BEFORE THE TRIAL** (See information in *Timekeeper's Responsibilities*, p. 36)

II. DURING THE TRIAL

- A. Timekeepers play an essential role during a mock trial competition round and therefore must work together as a <u>neutral</u> "timekeeping team" to ensure that time is kept accurately and fairly for both sides in the round.
- B. Enter the Round Number and Team Codes in the spaces provided at the top of the Timekeeping Sheet (see page 40). Arrange your stopwatches, time cards, and Time Card Use Table.
- C. Keep time during the trial, remembering the following:
 - 1. Use one stopwatch for each side PROSECUTION on your left and DEFENSE on your right.
 - 2. **RESET** STOPWATCH TO ZERO **ONLY** at the following times:
 - at the beginning of each side's opening statement;
 - b. at the beginning of each side's direct examination
 - c. at the beginning of each side's cross-examination; and,
 - d. at the beginning of each side's closing argument
 - **3. DO NOT** reset stopwatch to zero at any other time.
 - a. DO NOT reset stopwatch to zero at the end of direct and cross-examinations (you will need to resume direct examination timing for redirect questioning, and crossexamination time for recross questioning);
 - b. **DO NOT** reset stopwatch to zero at the end of the Prosecution's closing argument (you will need to resume the Prosecution's closing argument timing for the Prosecution's **rebuttal**).
 - 4. START timing only when each attorney starts to speak, (i.e. when the attorney actually speaks the first word of his or her opening, closing, or examination question examples include but are not limited to, "May it please the court...," or, "Your Honor, Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury..." [for openings/closings] or, "Please state your name for the court..." [for examination question) NOT when an attorney responds to a presiding judge's inquiry as to whether or not that side is ready to proceed, asks for permission to reserve time for a rebuttal, asks for permission to use/move a podium, or to swear a witness, etc.)
 - 5. **STOP** timing during objections, responses to objections, questioning by the judge, and when the attorney says his or her last word on completion of a given task.
 - 6. Remember: **DO NOT** count time:
 - a. From the time an objection is raised until after the ruling by the presiding judge and the examining attorney says the first word to continue the examination;
 - b. During the time a judge may raise questions to a team or the panel.
 - 7. Time **DOES NOT STOP** for the introduction of evidence.

- D. Display time cards **simultaneously** throughout the round to **both teams** (attorneys and witnesses) and the presiding judge only at the intervals set out in the Time Card Use Table (see page 39). Display the **STOP** card to both teams, the presiding, and the scoring judges.
- E. Timekeeping each trial is a function of both teams' timekeepers working together. Timekeepers <u>may</u> <u>not</u> display any increments of time (not outlined on the Time Card Use Table) to their own team independently of the opposing team's timekeeper at any time during the trial.

III. DISCREPANCIES IN TIME BETWEEN TEAM TIMEKEEPERS DURING A TRIAL

- A. If timing variations of **15 seconds or more** occur at the completion of any <u>task</u> (i.e. at the end of each opening, at the end of each witness examination, at the end of each cross-examination, and at the end of each closing argument) during the trial, the timekeepers are to notify the presiding judge that a time discrepancy has occurred. In this event, one timekeeper will politely address the presiding judge and say, "Your Honor, under Rule 4.6 there is a time discrepancy of more than 15 seconds."
- B. The presiding judge will ask the nature of the discrepancy and then rule on the discrepancy before the trial continues.
- C. Timekeepers will synchronize their stopwatches to match the ruling of the presiding judge (as an example, if Prosecution/Plaintiff's stopwatch indicates that the Prosecution/Plaintiff has 2 minutes left in the direct examination block of time and the Defense stopwatch indicates time has expired in the direct examination block for the Prosecution/Plaintiff team, the presiding judge *might* decide to split the difference in the timing variation and give the Plaintiff team 1 minute to conclude the direct examination. The Defense timekeeper would adjust timing to allow for the 1 minute timing decision.)
- D. Any discrepancies between timekeepers less than 15 seconds will not be considered a violation.
- E. No time disputes will be entertained after the trial concludes.
- F. The decisions of the presiding judge regarding the resolution of timing disputes are final.

IV. AFTER THE TRIAL

- A. Sign the timekeeping sheet and write your team code at the bottom.
- B. Before the presiding judge retires to the jury room, each timekeeper is to politely turn in his or her timekeeping sheet. No matter how confusing the courtroom might be at the end of the trial, timekeepers must immediately hand their timekeeping sheets to the presiding judge.

Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament **Time Card Use Table**

For **Direct** Examination

When your stopwatch says	Hold up the timecard that says
5:00	20:00
10:00	15:00
15:00	10:00
20:00	5:00
21:00	4:00
22:00	3:00
23:00	2:00
24:00	1:00
24:20	0:40
24:40	0:20
25:00	STOP

For Cross-examination

When your stopwatch says	Hold up the timecard that says	
5:00	15:00	
10:00	10:00	
15:00	5:00	
16:00	4:00	
17:00	3:00	
18:00	2:00	
19:00	1:00	
19:20	0:40	
19:40	0:20	
20:00	STOP	

For **Opening** Statements & **Closing** Arguments

When your stopwatch says	Hold up the timecard that says	
1:00	4:00	
2:00	3:00	
3:00	2:00	
4:00	1:00	
4:20	0:40	
4:40	0:20	
5:00	STOP	

2009 Kentucky High School Mock Trial Tournament Timekeeping Sheet

Round Number:	Prosecution/Plaintiff Tear	n Code: D	efense Team Code:	
Opening Statement Prosecution/Pl Defense				
FIRST WITNE SECOND WIT	mination of Three Prosecu SS (ending time) NESS (cumulative ending time) SS (cumulative ending time:		·	
FIRST WITNE SECOND WIT	mination of Three Prosecut SS (ending time) NESS (cumulative ending time) SS (cumulative ending time:			
FIRST WITNE SECOND WIT	mination of Three Defense SS (ending time) NESS (cumulative ending time) SS (cumulative ending time:	·	, 	
FIRST WITNE SECOND WIT	mination of Three Defense SS (ending time) NESS (cumulative ending time) SS (cumulative ending time:		<u> </u>	
Closing Arguments Prosecution/P	aintiff (and rebuttal, if any)			
Remember: Clock Stops for Objections				
Timekeeper's Signa	ature:	_ Tear	m Code:	